Vibrational Modes Identify Soft Spots in a Sheared Disordered Packing
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We analyze low-frequency vibrational modes in a two-dimensional, zero-temperature, quasistatically sheared model glass to identify a population of structural “soft spots” where particle rearrangements are initiated. The population of spots evolves slowly compared to the interval between particle rearrangements, and the soft spots are structurally different from the rest of the system. Our results suggest that disordered solids flow via localized rearrangements that tend to occur at soft spots, which are analogous to dislocations in crystalline solids.
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Like liquids, solids can flow under applied shear stresses. Crystalline solids flow via rare rearrangements controlled by a population of lattice defects, namely, dislocations [1]. In disordered solids, rearrangements tend to be localized [2–4], but there is no obvious way to identify defects that might control them [5]. Can these rare localized rearrangements occur anywhere, as in a liquid, or do glasses possess a population of “soft spots,” analogous to dislocations in crystalline solids, which are structurally distinct and susceptible to rearrangement? Although useful continuum models assume the latter [3,6], such a population of spots has never been identified from structural information.

In order to search for a population of soft spots, we must start with a solidlike description of the glass. We begin with harmonic theory, in which the linear response to an applied stress is completely characterized by the normal modes of vibration. This approximation breaks down before solids begin to flow, so one would not expect the linear response to yield much insight into particle rearrangements. However, recent evidence suggests that low-frequency vibrational modes, which are generically more prevalent in disordered solids than in crystalline ones [7], can be quasilocalized. Such modes have unusually low energy barriers to rearrangements [8] and are correlated with rearrangements [9–13].

In this Letter, we use low-frequency modes to identify a population of soft spots in a model glass. We find that rearrangements begin at soft spots, that the population of soft spots evolves slowly compared to the time between rearrangements, and that there are structural differences between soft spots and the rest of the system. We therefore conclude that soft spots are good candidates for elementary defects that control the flow of disordered solids.

We study a 50:50 binary mixture of soft disks with unit mass and diameter ratio 1.4 in two dimensions, interacting via a Hertzian potential $V = \epsilon (1 - r/R)^{\gamma/2}$, where $r$ is the distance between the centers of two particles and $R$ is the sum of their radii. Results presented here are for jammed packings with a packing fraction $\phi = 0.95$, which is much higher than the jamming transition at $\phi_c \approx 0.84$. We have also identified soft spots at values of $\phi$ closer to the transition [14]. Lengths and frequencies are in units of the small particle diameter and the interaction energy $\epsilon$. We employ Lees-Edwards boundary conditions to shear the system with a strain step of $10^{-5}$. After each strain step we relax the structure to its minimum energy to shear the system athermally and quasistatically. We find that none of the measured soft spot statistics depend on the strain, so the data shown are for all strains.

With increasing applied strain, the shear stress increases with a slope given by the shear modulus and then drops abruptly when there is a rearrangement. The strain step size is reduced to $2 \times 10^{-7}$ before each rearrangement. Between rearrangements, the dynamical matrix $M$ is calculated at small strain intervals to obtain its eigenvalues (corresponding to the square of the frequency) and eigenvectors (the vibrational modes) [15].

In this limit of zero temperature and strain rate, a rearrangement occurs when one vibrational mode (the critical mode) reaches zero frequency. At that critical strain $\gamma_c$, the packing becomes unstable and the coordination of particles in the packing changes. The initial rearrangement can trigger an avalanche of additional particle motions [16], so that the net displacements of the particles may be very different from the critical mode and may involve contributions from a number of modes [13]. However, in solids at finite temperatures and strain rates, fluctuations can interrupt or extend avalanches. Therefore, for the remainder of this Letter we focus not on the avalanche but on the reproducible initial particle rearrangement, described by the critical mode [16].
As the system is strained, the packing becomes less stable and the mode frequencies tend to shift downwards. At a given strain, one might expect the lowest-frequency mode to be the one whose frequency vanishes at the next rearrangement. Figure 1 shows that this is not generally true—the mode most similar to the critical mode lies at the lowest frequency only for a small range of strains immediately preceding the particle rearrangement [13]. Note that most excitations at low frequencies are weakly scattered sound waves with a strong plane-wave character. These excitations coexist in the same frequency range as the quasilocalized excitations [8]; as a result, the normal modes exhibit characteristics of each. We therefore look at the entire population of low-frequency modes to extract soft spots.

For a granular packing of $N = 2500$ particles, we first identify the $N_m$ lowest-frequency modes in the spectrum of the dynamical matrix and the $N_p$ particles in each of these modes with the largest polarization vectors. The values of $N_m$ and $N_p$ are not chosen arbitrarily. Instead, as we will show in detail below, we optimize these parameters to maximize correlation with particle rearrangements and show that the optimized $N_m^*$ and $N_p^*$ have clear physical significance: They correspond to the energy and length scales of soft spots.

Figure 2(a) illustrates the locations of the particles identified by the lowest $N_m = 30$ modes and $N_p = 20$ particles for a particular configuration. Note that the largest polarization vectors are spatially clustered into regions and that the same regions appear in several different modes. Each of the $N_p$ particles in each of the $N_m$ modes is then assigned a value of unity, while the remaining particles are assigned a value of zero. We separate this binary map into localized clusters or soft spots, grouping together particles with non-zero contact forces between them, as shown in Fig. 2(b) [17]. Thus, the population of soft spots at strain $\gamma$ is represented by a binary vector $S(\gamma) = \{S_i(\gamma) \in \{0, 1\}\}$, where $S_i = 1$ if particle $i$ is in a soft spot and $S_i = 0$ otherwise. In addition, we construct a binary vector for each soft spot, indexed by $\alpha$: $s_\alpha = \{s_{\alpha,i}(\gamma) \in \{0, 1\}\}$, with $s_{\alpha,i} = 1$ if particle $i$ is in a soft spot $\alpha$ and $s_{\alpha,i} = 0$ otherwise. Thus, $S(\gamma) = \sum_\alpha s_\alpha(\gamma)$. Note that to calculate the soft spots we used only structural information (the particle positions and interactions). As a result, the soft spots are structural, not dynamical, features.

We now calculate the correlation of each soft spot $s_\alpha(\gamma)$ with the next rearrangement at strain $\gamma_s$, $R(\gamma_s) = \{R_i(\gamma_s)\}$, where $R_i$ is 1 if particle $i$ has one of the $n_\alpha$ largest displacement vectors in the critical mode and $R_i = 0$ otherwise. Here, $n_\alpha$ is the number of particles in soft spot $\alpha$. The correlation is [18]

$$C^\alpha = s_\alpha(\gamma) \cdot R(\gamma_s) + \frac{[1 - s_\alpha(\gamma)][1 - R(\gamma_s)]}{(N - n_\alpha)} - 1. \quad (1)$$

The quantity $C^\alpha$ is unity if $s_\alpha$ and $R$ are perfectly correlated and zero if they are uncorrelated. The rearrangement shown by the red arrows in Fig. 2 has $C^\alpha = 0.64$ with the blue soft spot (the “best” soft spot with the highest value of $C^\alpha$, which we label as $\alpha = 1$).

The correlation $C^\alpha$ depends on the number of modes $N_m$ and the number of particles per mode $N_p$ used to define the spots. We choose $N_p^*$ and $N_m^*$ to maximize the correlation $C^\alpha$ [Eq. (1)] with the best soft spot, averaged over all strains. We find $N_p^* = 20$ particles per mode with $N_m^* = 30$ modes, corresponding to roughly 13 soft spots in a 2500-particle system.

What is the physical significance of the optimized values $N_m^*$ and $N_p^*$? To understand why the $N_m^* = 30$ lowest-frequency modes are singled out, we examine the distribution of polarization vector magnitudes for each mode. Each normal mode is composed of $N d$-dimensional polarization vectors that specify the displacement of each particle in the packing. Figure 3 shows polarization vector distributions for (a) the 15 lowest-frequency modes and (b) 50 intermediate-frequency modes. The locations of
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these regimes are indicated on a plot of the density of states $D(\omega)$ as a function of frequency showing the frequency ranges of the modes in (a) and (b).

Figure 3(b) shows that for modes in the middle of the spectrum, corresponding to extended anomalous modes of the type described by Wyart, Nagel, and Witten that constitute the boson peak [7], the distributions appear to be universal with a form given by a modified Gaussian orthogonal random matrix ensemble [solid line in Fig. 3(b)]. While most of the modes in the spectrum are well-described by this universal curve, there are clear deviations at the low- and high-frequency ends of the spectrum. At the high-frequency end, the localized modes differ from the universal curve but play no role in our analysis. At the opposite end of the spectrum, Fig. 3(a) shows that the lowest-frequency modes $\mathcal{O}(10)$ for a $2500$-particle packing] also differ significantly from the universal curve [8], as shown by the $L_2$ distances between the distributions. This number is consistent with $N^*_m \approx 30$ and a different analysis by Schober and Oligschleger [19]. Thus, $N^*_m$ measures the number of low-frequency modes that differ significantly from the anomalous modes.

To interpret $N^*_p$, we estimate the size of an individual spot by analyzing the number of particles that change neighbors during “elementary” particle rearrangements. In our quasi-static simulations, elementary particle rearrangements are defined as those where the critical mode is at least 80% correlated with the total displacement of all the particles after the packing has reached a new mechanically stable state. The results are not sensitive to the particular threshold used as long as we exclude avalanches, in which one rearrangement triggers another, and so on. We find that the average number of particles that change neighbors during an elementary rearrangement is 10. A number of neighbors of these 10 particles also shift significantly during a rearrangement. Thus, we interpret $N^*_p \approx 20$ as a measure of the size of a localized rearrangement.

The fact that $N^*_m$ and $N^*_p$ are physically meaningful quantities implies that our method of identifying soft spots is not arbitrary; it is physically justified. The soft spot population is not too sensitive to $N^*_p$ and $N^*_m$ near their optimal values as long as the fraction of particles in soft spots is approximately $\phi_{ss} = 0.1$. If $N^*_m$ and $N^*_p$ are chosen such that $\phi_{ss}$ differs significantly from 0.1, correlations between spots and rearrangements are substantially lower. This suggests that other approaches based on good estimates of the energy scale $N^*_m$ and size scale $N^*_p$ could also correctly identify soft spots.

Next, we need to show that the identified soft spots are good candidates for structural defects that control flow, analogous to dislocations in crystalline solids. The following properties of dislocations ensure that they control flow: (i) Rearrangements tend to occur at dislocations, (ii) dislocations are long-lived compared to the time between rearrangements, and (iii) dislocations are structurally distinct from the rest of the system. We now show that soft spots also possess these qualities.

(i) Rearrangements occur at soft spots. Each rearrangement is much more strongly correlated with one soft spot (the best one) than any of the others [Fig. 4(a)]. Thus, each rearrangement occurs at one and only one soft spot in the population. Moreover, the correlation with the best soft spot is high even when the spots are identified far in advance of the rearrangement. In Fig. 4(a), the solid
symbols show the correlation between the rearrangement and the best soft spot as a function of the difference $\gamma_e - \gamma$ between the strain at which the rearrangement occurs, $\gamma_e$, and the strain at which the soft spot was identified, $\gamma$. The correlation decays slowly with increasing $\gamma_e - \gamma$; the best soft spot calculated shortly after a rearrangement still has a strong correlation with the next rearrangement.

(ii) The population of soft spots is long-lived compared to the interval between rearrangements. To calculate the correlation $C_{ss}$ between soft spot distributions, we first normalize the soft spot distribution so that it has zero mean and unit variance: $\hat{S} = (S_i - \bar{S})/\sigma$. We then define

$$C_{ss}(\delta \gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{tot}} \int_0^{\gamma_{tot}} d\gamma S(\gamma) \cdot \hat{S}(\gamma + \delta \gamma). \tag{2}$$

where $\gamma_{tot}$ is the total strain studied. Figure 4(b) shows that $C_{ss}$ decays slowly compared to the average strain between rearrangements, $\gamma$. The decay strain is approximately the product of $\gamma$ and the total number of soft spots (of the order of 10), consistent with our observations that each rearrangement destroys a soft spot. This would imply that $C_{ss}$ has a nonzero decay strain even in the thermodynamic limit where $\gamma \to 0$.

(iii) Soft spots are structurally different from the remainder of the packing. We average structural quantities over soft spots and over randomly chosen sets of particles containing the same number of particles as in soft spots and compare the results. We find that the difference is significant for several quantities, including the coordination number (6.5 $\pm$ 1.8%), hexagonal bond order (29 $\pm$ 8%), and excess free volume (18 $\pm$ 7.9%). However, we could not identify the same population of soft spots by coarse-graining these geometric quantities over the area of an average spot. Thus, although soft spots are structurally different, the difference is sufficiently subtle that one cannot identify them correctly by using only these local geometric quantities.

We also calculated the local shear modulus [20], averaged over the area of a soft spot. As first noted in Ref. [20], if one is sufficiently close to the rearrangement, the spatial distribution of the coarse-grained shear modulus pinpoints when and where the next rearrangement will occur. However, it does not provide information about other soft spots that do not rearrange.

The soft spot analysis provides fundamentally different information. It identifies a collection of spots; the next rearrangement will occur at one of these spots, but, unlike the local shear modulus, the soft spot analysis cannot single out that particular spot a priori.

So why is it useful to identify a population of soft spots? The advantage becomes apparent when one considers the effects of fluctuations that arise from temperature or shear. Because of fluctuations, a rearrangement will not necessarily occur in the spot with the lowest energy barrier but could occur in any one of the spots with some probability. In that case, a statistical description of the soft spot population and rearrangements is needed [3].

Our results show that the soft spot population, unlike the low-frequency vibrational modes from which it is derived or regions of low local shear modulus, is long-lived compared to the interval between rearrangements. This implies that the population is robust to the fluctuations that arise in quasistatically sheared systems. The same analysis can be applied to inherent structures of systems at finite temperatures and strain rates. Recent experiments on thermal colloids show that soft spots are also robust at nonzero temperatures [21]. Taken together, these results provide strong evidence that the spots do indeed constitute the structural defects relevant for flow in disordered solids.
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